arXiv:1403.7931v1 [math.FA] 31 Mar 2014

Characterization and inversion theorems for a generalized Radon transform

A. D. Agaltsov[1]

Abstract

In this paper the generalized Radon transform over level hypersurfaces of CES-functions of measures supported in positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ is studied. A characterization of the generalized Radon transform of nonnegative measures is found. Explicit inversion formula for the generalized Radon transform of absolutely continuous measures is obtained.

Key words: generalized Radon transform, characterization, inversion formula, model of pure industry.

1 Introduction

One of the actual problems in economic statistics is a need for taking into account the effect of substitution of production factors at the microlevel in production processes. Some production processes require resourses which are able to interchange one another. Appearance of this effect was accelerated by the processes of standartisation and globalisation. In the work [Sh] A. Shananin introduced the generalized model of pure industry which takes into account the described effect. The profit function in this model is closely related to a generalized Radon transform of measures. Study of properties of profit functions is important since the profit function is one of the main tools of macroscopic description of production systems.

Define on the set of nonegative numbers a, b the operation

$$a \oplus_\alpha b = (a^\alpha + b^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1.$$

For $\alpha = 1$ it is the usual addition. Now define an analog of scalar product for two nonnegative vectors $p, x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ by the rule

$$p \odot_\alpha x = p_1 x_1 \oplus_\alpha p_2 x_2 \oplus_\alpha \ldots \oplus_\alpha p_n x_n.$$

In economics the map $x \mapsto p \odot_\alpha x$ is called constant elasticity of substitution (CES) function. In this paper we study the generalized Radon transform of signed Borel measures μ with support in positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ which is given by the formula

$$R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} \mu(dx), \tag{1.1}$$

[1] 119991, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moscow, Russia; email: agalets@gmail.com

where $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $p \neq 0$, $p_0 > 0$ and the derivative is taken in the sense of distribution theory. We also study the transform

$$\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (p_0 - p \odot_\alpha x)_+ \mu(dx), \tag{1.2}$$

where $a_+ = \max(0, a)$. This transform plays the role of profit function in the generalized model of pure industry [Sh]. Transforms (1.1) and (1.2) are closely related. Results obtained for one of them could be easily translated to the case of the other transform.

For transforms (1.1) and (1.2) we obtain inversion and characterization theorems. Before passing to these questions we show that in the case of absolutely continuous measures with continuous densities the generalized Radon transform $R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$ is precisely an integral of the density over a level hypersurface of a CES-function.

Proposition 1.1. *Let a signed measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ be absolutely continuous with continuous density $a(x)$. Let $\Omega_\alpha(p)$ be a differential form on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that*

$$d_x(p \odot_\alpha x) \wedge \Omega_\alpha(p) = dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n, \quad p \neq 0.$$

Then the generalized Radon transform satisfies

$$R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0) = \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x = p_0} a(x)\, \Omega_\alpha(p), \quad p \neq 0,\ p_0 > 0.$$

Proof. By the coarea formula (see [KP]) we have

$$\int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} a(x)\, dx = \int\limits_0^{p_0} \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x = s} a(x)\, \Omega_\alpha(p)\, ds.$$

Taking into account the definition of the generalized Radon transform we obtain

$$R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} a(x)\, dx = \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x = s} a(x)\, \Omega_\alpha(p).$$

Proposition is proved. □

2 Characterization.

Before passing to the characterization theorems we give some definitions that will be used in future.

Definition 2.1. A distribution $T \in \mathscr{D}'(0, \infty)$ is called nonnegative if for any test function $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(0, \infty)$, $\varphi \geqslant 0$, we have $\langle T, \varphi \rangle \geqslant 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let $X_1 = (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $X_2 = (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ be two measurable spaces, $f\colon \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ be a measurable map, μ be a measure on X_1. Then the measure $f_*\mu$ on X_2 defined for each $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ by the rule $f_*\mu(F_2) = \mu(f^{-1}(F_2))$ is called pushforward of measure μ.

Definition 2.3. A function $F \in C^\infty(\operatorname{int}\mathbb{R}^n_+, \mathbb{R})$ is called completely monotone if for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ the inequality

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}F(p)}{\partial^\alpha p} \geqslant 0,$$

holds for any $p \in \operatorname{int}\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

The proofs of our characterization theorems are based on the next two theorems.

Theorem 2.1 (see [LL])**.** *Let $T \in \mathscr{D}'(0,\infty)$ be a nonnegative distribution. Then there is a unique locally finite Borel measure ν on $(0,\infty)$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(0,\infty)$ the following equality holds:*

$$\langle T, \varphi\rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty \varphi(\tau)\,\nu(d\tau).$$

Theorem 2.2 (S. Bernstein, V. Hilbert, see [HS])**.** *Let $F(p)\colon \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ function such that $F(p)$ is completely monotone on $\operatorname{int}\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Then there is a unique measure μ supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ we have*

$$F(p) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-p_1x_1-\ldots-p_nx_n}\,\mu(dx).$$

Now we pass to the characterization theorems. The next theorem characterizes the generalized Radon transforms of finite nonnegative measures supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Theorem 2.3. *A distribution $æ(p,\cdot) \in \mathscr{D}'(0,\infty)$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $p \neq 0$ can be represented in the form*

$$æ(p,p_0) = R_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0),$$

where μ is a nonnegative finite Borel measure supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and absolutely continuous at zero (i.e. $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$) if and only if

1. *$æ(p,\cdot) \geqslant 0$,*
2. *$\lambda æ(\lambda p, \lambda p_0) = æ(p,p_0)$ for any $\lambda > 0$,*
3. *the function*

$$F(p) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\tau^\alpha} æ(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ldots, p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}; \tau)d\tau,$$

is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and completely monotone on $\operatorname{int}\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to+\infty} F(\lambda p) = 0$ for any $p \neq 0$.

Proof. Necessity. Let's obtain, at first, a formula for the action of distrubution $\text{æ}(p, p_0)$ on functions $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+)$. We are going to show that

$$\langle \text{æ}(p,\tau), \varphi(\tau)\rangle = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \varphi(p \odot_\alpha x)\mu(dx). \tag{2.1}$$

By definition of derivative in the sense of distribution theory we can write

$$\langle \text{æ}(p,\tau), \varphi(\tau)\rangle = -\left\langle \int\limits_{p\odot_\alpha x \leqslant \tau} \mu(dx), \frac{\partial\varphi(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\right\rangle = -\left\langle \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \theta(\tau - p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx), \frac{\partial\varphi(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\right\rangle =$$

$$= -\int\limits_0^\infty \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \theta(\tau - p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx)\frac{\partial\varphi(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\,d\tau = -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\int\limits_0^\infty \theta(\tau - p\odot_\alpha x)\frac{\partial\varphi(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\,d\tau\,\mu(dx) =$$

$$= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\int\limits_{p\odot_\alpha x}^\infty \frac{\partial\varphi(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\,d\tau\,\mu(dx) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \varphi(p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx).$$

where $\theta(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside function. The property $\text{æ}(p,\cdot) \geqslant 0$ follows immediately from formula (2.1). Now let's note that distribution $\text{æ}(p, p_0)$ can be extended to the set of functions $\psi \in C[0,\infty)$, $\psi|_{(0,\infty)} \in C^\infty(0,\infty)$, with compact support in $[0,\infty)$. Considering the case when $\text{æ}(p, p_0)$ is a continuous function of p_0 we can correct the formula (2.1):

$$\langle \text{æ}(p,\tau), \psi(\tau)\rangle = \mu\{0\}\psi(0) + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \psi(p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx). \tag{2.2}$$

By virtue of absolute continuity of μ at zero the additional term vanishes. Hence formula (2.1) is valid for functions of class $C^\infty(0,\infty)\cap C[0,\infty)$ with compact support in $[0,\infty)$.

For any $\lambda > 0$ the obviuous equality holds:

$$\int\limits_{(\lambda p)\odot_\alpha x \leqslant \lambda p_0} \mu(dx) = \int\limits_{p\odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} \mu(dx).$$

Hence $\text{æ}(p, p_0)$ is a positively homogenuous distribution of order -1 as a derivative of function that is positively homogenuous of order 0. More precisely, for any function $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(0,\infty)$ we can write

$$\langle \text{æ}(\lambda p, \lambda\tau), \varphi(\tau)\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle \text{æ}(\lambda p,\tau), \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left\langle \int\limits_{(\lambda p)\odot_\alpha x\leqslant\tau} \mu(dx), \varphi'\left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left\langle \int\limits_{p\odot_\alpha x\leqslant\frac{\tau}{\lambda}} \mu(dx), \varphi'\left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle \int\limits_{p\odot_\alpha x\leqslant\tau} \mu(dx), \varphi'(\tau)\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda}\text{æ}(p,\tau), \varphi(\tau)\right\rangle.$$

The property $\lambda æ(\lambda p, \lambda p_0) = æ(p, p_0)$ is shown.

Finally, taking into account formula (2.1) and finiteness of measure μ we obtain

$$F(p) = \left\langle æ(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \dots, p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}; \tau), e^{-\tau^\alpha} \right\rangle = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-p_1 x_1^\alpha - \dots - p_n x_n^\alpha} \, \mu(dx),$$

From this we can derive boundedness and complete monotony of function $F(p)$ and the property $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} F(\lambda p) = \mu(\{0\}) = 0$. The necessary part of theorem is proved.

Sufficiency. Step 1. From the condition $æ(p, \cdot) \geqslant 0$ by virtue of Theorem 2.1 for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $p \neq 0$, there exists and unique a nonnegative locally-finite Borel measure $\hat{\mu}_p$ with support in $(0, \infty)$ such that for any function $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(0, \infty)$ the following equality holds:

$$\langle æ(p, \tau), \varphi(\tau) \rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty \varphi(\tau) \, \hat{\mu}_p(d\tau).$$

Let's use positive homogeneity of distribution $æ(p, p_0)$ to compute for $\lambda > 0$ the value

$$\langle æ(\lambda p, \tau), \varphi(\tau) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} æ\left(\lambda p, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\tau\right), \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\tau\right) \right\rangle =$$
$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda} æ\left(p, \frac{1}{\lambda}\tau\right), \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\tau\right) \right\rangle = \langle æ(p, \tau), \varphi(\lambda\tau) \rangle.$$

Now let $\chi_n(\tau) \in \mathscr{D}(0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing sequence of functions such that $\chi_n(\tau) = 1$ for $\tau \in [\frac{1}{n}, n]$ and support of χ_n is contained in $[\frac{1}{2n}, 2n]$. Define $\phi_n(\tau) = e^{-\tau^\alpha} \chi_n(\tau)$. Then by the Lebesgue theorem on monotone convergence the map $\tau \mapsto e^{-\tau^\alpha}$ is integrable with respect to measure $\hat{\mu}_p$ and

$$\langle æ(p, \tau), \phi_n(\tau) \rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty \varphi_n(\tau) \, \hat{\mu}_p(d\tau) \to \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\tau^\alpha} \, \hat{\mu}_p(d\tau) = F(\lambda p_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda p_n^\alpha).$$

Let's make a substitution $s = \tau^\alpha$. Then

$$F(\lambda p_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda p_n^\alpha) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \, \hat{\mu}_{p*}(ds),$$

where $\hat{\mu}_{p*}$ is the pushforward of measure $\hat{\mu}_p$ under the map $\tau \mapsto \tau^\alpha$.

Step 2. From boundedness of $F(p)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and complete monotony on $\operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+$ by Theorem 2.2 there exists nonnegative finite Borel measure μ_* supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that

$$F(p) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-p_1 x_1 - \dots - p_n x_n} \, \mu_*(dx).$$

Let μ be the pushforward of measure μ_* under the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^{1/\alpha}, \dots, x_n^{1/\alpha})$. Then μ_* is the pushforward of μ under the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$. Measure μ is finite since measure μ_* is finite. After the change of variables in the integral we obtain

$$F(p) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-p_1 x_1^\alpha - \dots - p_n x_n^\alpha} \, \mu(dx). \tag{2.3}$$

We can write

$$F(p) = \mu(\{0\}) + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}} e^{-p_1 x_1^\alpha - \dots - p_n x_n^\alpha} \, \mu(dx).$$

Put $p = \lambda q$, $q \neq 0$ and pass $\lambda \to +\infty$. Using the monotone convergence theorem and condition $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} F(\lambda q) = 0$ we obtain $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$, i.e. measure μ is absolutely continuous at zero.

Function

$$p_0 \mapsto \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} \mu(dx)$$

is nondecreasing and bounded. Denote by $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ the Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure generated by this function on $[0, \infty)$. Define a distribution $æ_0(p, p_0) = R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$. Then for any continuous and bounded function φ on $[0, \infty)$ the equality holds:

$$\langle æ_0(p, \tau), \varphi(\tau) \rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty \varphi(\tau) \, \widetilde{\mu}_p(d\tau).$$

Put $\varphi(\tau) = e^{-\tau^\alpha}$. From formulas (2.1), (2.3) it follows that

$$\langle æ_0(p, \tau), e^{-\tau^\alpha} \rangle = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} e^{-(p_1 x_1)^\alpha - \dots - (p_n x_n)^\alpha} \, \mu(dx) = F(p_1^\alpha, \dots, p_n^\alpha).$$

From the necessary part of this theorem it follows that $æ_0(p, p_0)$ is a positively homogeneous distribution of order -1. By the same considerations as in step one we obtain

$$F(\lambda p_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda p_n^\alpha) = \langle æ_0(\lambda p, \tau), e^{-\tau^\alpha} \rangle =$$

$$= \langle æ_0(p, \tau), e^{-\lambda \tau^\alpha} \rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau^\alpha} \, \widetilde{\mu}_p(d\tau).$$

Making the change of variables $s = \tau^\alpha$ and denoting by $\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}$ the pushforward of measure $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ under the map $\tau \mapsto \tau^\alpha$ we obtain

$$F(\lambda p_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda p_n^\alpha) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \, \widetilde{\mu}_{p*}(ds).$$

From the finiteness of measure $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ we derive the finiteness of measure $\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}$.

Step 3. At steps 1 and 2 we obtained that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s}\,\hat{\mu}_{p*}(ds) = F(\lambda p_1^\alpha, \ldots, \lambda p_n^\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s}\,\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}(ds),$$

Pass $\lambda \to +0$. Using the monotone convergence theorem for the integral with respect to measure $\hat{\mu}_{p*}$ we obtain that $\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}$ is finite and the equality

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s}\,\hat{\mu}_{p*}(ds) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s}\,\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}(ds)$$

holds for $\lambda \geqslant 0$. From this we derive the coincidence of measures $\hat{\mu}_{p*}$ и $\widetilde{\mu}_{p*}$. Hence the measures $\hat{\mu}_p$ и $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ generating distributions $æ(p, p_0)$ and $æ_0(p, p_0)$ also coincide. Finally,

$$æ(p, p_0) = æ_0(p, p_0) = R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0).$$

Theorem is proved. □

We will apply Theorem 2.3 in order to prove the characterization theorem for transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$. The next theorem characterises transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$ in the case of nonnegative absolutely continuous at zero measures supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Recall that in the generalized model of pure industry [Sh] the transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$ has the meaning of the production function. The measure μ in this case has the meaning of distribution of production powers over technologies. Within this context the requirement of absolute continuity at zero means absense of «the horn of plenty» or impossibility to have profit without spending any resources. Hence the requirement $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ is not restrictive from the point of view of economic applications. Before formulating the next theorem we prove the lemma that will help us in future.

Definition 2.4. Let μ be a signed measure supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and let $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ be its Jordan decomposition. Then the measure $|\mu| = \mu_+ + \mu_-$ is called total variation of measure μ.

Lemma 2.1. *Let μ be a signed Borel measure supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ for which the total variation $|\mu|$ is finite on compacts. Then for any $p_0 > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$ the function $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$ is differentiable with respect to p_0 and the equality holds:*

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)}{\partial p_0} = \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} \mu(dx).$$

Proof. Denote $G(p,p_0)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid p\odot_\alpha x\leqslant p_0\right\}$. Let $\Delta>0$. Let's write

$$\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0+\Delta)-\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)=$$

$$=\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)}(p_0+\Delta-p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx)-\int\limits_{G(p,p_0)}(p_0-p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx)=$$

$$=\Delta\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)}\mu(dx)+\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)}(p_0-p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx).$$

Note that

$$G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid 0<p\odot_\alpha x-p_0\leqslant\Delta\right\}.$$

Hence the estimate holds:

$$\left|\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)}(p_0-p\odot_\alpha x)\,\mu(dx)\right|\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)}|p_0-p\odot_\alpha x|\,|\mu|(dx)\leqslant\Delta\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)}|\mu|(dx)=o(\Delta),\ \Delta\to+0,$$

since $|\mu|\left\{G(p,p_0+\Delta)\setminus G(p,p_0)\right\}\to 0$ as $\Delta\to+0$. Hence one can write

$$\frac{1}{\Delta}\left[\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0+\Delta)-\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)\right]=\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)}\mu(dx)+o(1),\ \Delta\to+0.$$

Using the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral we obtain

$$\int\limits_{G(p,p_0+\Delta)}\mu(dx)\to\int\limits_{G(p,p_0)}\mu(dx),\ \Delta\to+0.$$

The case $\Delta<0$ is similar to the considered one. Lemma is proved. □

Note that in the work [HS] the characterization theorem for transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$ was obtained in the case $\alpha=1$. For $\alpha=1$ the generalized Radon transform $R_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$ coincides with the classical Radon transform over hyperplanes. From the point of view of economical applications the profit function $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$ in the case $\alpha=1$ corresponds to ecomonic systems without the effect of substitution of production factors at the microlevel. The next theorem generalizes the described result to the case of arbitrary $\alpha\in(0,1]$.

Theorem 2.4. *A function* $\Pi(p,p_0)\colon\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ *can be represented in the form*

$$\Pi(p,p_0)=\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0),$$

where μ *is a nonnegative Borel measure with support in* $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ *and such that* $\mu(\{0\})=0$ *if and only if*

1. $\Pi(p, p_0)$ *is convex,*

2. $\Pi(\lambda p, \lambda p_0) = \lambda \Pi(p, p_0)$ *for any* $\lambda > 0$,

3. $\Pi(p, +0) = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial p_0}(p, +0) = 0$ *for* $p \in \operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+$,

4. *The function*

$$F(p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau^\alpha} \, d\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \tau}(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ldots, p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}; \tau)$$

is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ *and completely monotone in* $\operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Proof. Necessity. Convexity of function $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$ follows immediately from its definition if we take into account that for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ the function $x \mapsto p \odot_\alpha x$ is concave (for $\alpha > 1$ it becomes convex).

The positive homogeneity follows immediately from definition of transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)$:

$$\Pi_\alpha[\mu](\lambda p, \lambda p_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\lambda p_0 - (\lambda p) \odot_\alpha x)_+ \, \mu(dx) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (p_0 - p \odot_\alpha x)_+ \, \mu(dx) = \lambda \Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0).$$

By virtue of inequality $(p_0 - p \odot_\alpha x)_+ \leqslant p_0$ and of finiteness of measure μ we obtain that

$$0 \leqslant \Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0) \leqslant p_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \mu(dx) \to 0, \; p_0 \to +0.$$

It follows that $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, +0) = 0$.

Using Lemma 2.1 we can write

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0)}{\partial p_0} = \mu(\{0\}) + \int_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0, \; x \neq 0} \mu(dx).$$

Passing $p_0 \to +0$, taking into account the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral and the property $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ we obtain $\frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[\mu]}{\partial p_0}(p, +0) = 0$.

Boundedness and complete monotony of function $F(p)$ can be proved as in Theorem 2.3 if one takes into account that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_\alpha[\mu]}{\partial p_0^2}(p, p_0) = R_\alpha[\mu](p, p_0).$$

The necessary part of the theorem is proved.

Sufficiency. Let $æ(p, p_0) = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi(p, p_0)}{\partial p_0^2}$ be a derivative in the sense of distribution theory i.e. for any $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(0, \infty)$ the equality holds:

$$\langle æ(p, \tau), \varphi(\tau) \rangle = \langle \Pi(p, \tau), \varphi''(\tau) \rangle.$$

A function on $(0,+\infty)$ is convex if and only if its second derivative is a nonnegative distribution (see [Sc]). Hence $æ(p,\cdot)\geqslant 0$.

Since $\Pi(p,p_0)$ is a positively homogeneous function of order one its second derivative $æ(p,p_0)$ is a positively homogenuous distribution of order -1:

$$\langle æ(\lambda p,\lambda\tau),\varphi(\tau)\rangle=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle æ(\lambda p,\tau),\varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\left\langle \Pi(\lambda p,\tau),\varphi''\left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle=$$
$$=\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\langle\Pi(\lambda p,\lambda\tau),\varphi''(\tau)\rangle=\frac{1}{\lambda}\langle\Pi(p,\tau),\varphi''(\tau)\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{\lambda}æ(p,\tau),\varphi(\tau)\right\rangle.$$

Finally,

$$F(p)=\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\tau^\alpha}\,d\frac{\partial\Pi}{\partial\tau}(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\dots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};\tau)=\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\tau^\alpha}æ(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\dots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};\tau)\,d\tau.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 it can be shown that for any $\lambda>0$ the equality holds:

$$F(\lambda p)=\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau^\alpha}\,d\frac{\partial\Pi}{\partial\tau}(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\dots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};\tau)$$

Pass $\lambda\to+\infty$, use the Lebesgue theorem on monotone convergence and the condition $\frac{\partial\Pi}{\partial p_0}(p,+0)=0$ to obtain that $F(\lambda p)\to 0$ as $\lambda\to+\infty$.

Now let's use Theorem 2.3. By this theorem there exists a nonnegative Borel absolutely continuous at zero measure μ supported in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that $æ(p,p_0)=R_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$. Define $\Pi_0(p,p_0)=\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$. Then

$$\frac{\partial^2\Pi(p,p_0)}{\partial p_0^2}=R_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)=\frac{\partial^2\Pi_0(p,p_0)}{\partial p_0^2}.$$

From coincidence of second derivatives it follows that functions $\Pi(p,p_0)$ and $\Pi_0(p,p_0)$ differ by a polynomial of degree at most one with respect to p_0 (see [Sc]) for any fixed p. But from the equalities

$$\Pi(p,+0)=\Pi_0(p,+0)=0,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_0}\Pi(p,+0)=\frac{\partial}{\partial p_0}\Pi_0(p,+0)=0,$$

it follows that this polynomial is equal to zero. The theorem is proved. □

3 Inversion.

Let μ be an absolutely continuous measure on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ with density $a(x)$. Denote

$$R_\alpha[a](p,p_0):=R_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0),$$
$$\Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0):=\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0).$$

We also use the notation $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n_+, \rho(x))$ for the class of functions that belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ with weight $\rho(x)$, i.e. of such measurable functions $f(x)$ that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |f(x)|^p \rho(x)\, dx < \infty.$$

Before formulating the inversion theorem we prove an auxilary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *1. Let Ω be a differential form on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that*

$$(dx_1 + \ldots + dx_n) \wedge \Omega_\alpha = dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n,$$

Then for $\operatorname{Re} z_1 > 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} z_n > 0$ the following equality holds:

$$\int\limits_{x_1+\ldots+x_n=1, x\geqslant 0} x_1^{z_1-1} \ldots x_n^{z_n-1}\, \Omega = B(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z_1)\ldots\Gamma(z_n)}{\Gamma(z_1+\ldots+z_n)},\ z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n).$$

2. For $\operatorname{Re} t_1 < 1, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} t_n < 1$ the following formula holds:

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{-t_1} \ldots u_n^{-t_n} \left(1 - (u_1 + \ldots + u_n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)_+ du = \alpha B(1-t) B\left(2, \alpha(n - t_1 - \ldots - t_n)\right),$$

where $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, $du = du_1 \ldots du_n$.

Proof. 1) Note that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} x_1^{z_1-1} \ldots x_n^{z_n-1} e^{-x_1-\ldots-x_n}\, dx = \int\limits_0^\infty x_1^{z_1-1} e^{-x_1}\, dx_1 \ldots \int\limits_0^\infty x_n^{z_n-1} e^{-x_n}\, dx_n = \Gamma(z_1)\ldots\Gamma(z_n).$$

On the other hand

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} x_1^{z_1-1} \ldots x_n^{z_n-1} e^{-x_1-\ldots-x_n}\, dx = \{\text{coarea formula}\} =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-s} \int\limits_{x_1+\ldots+x_n=s,\ x\geqslant 0} x_1^{z_1-1} \ldots x_n^{z_n-1}\, \Omega\, ds = \left\{x_k = y_k s,\ k = \overline{1,n}\right\} =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{z_1+\ldots+z_n-1}\, ds \int\limits_{y_1+\ldots+y_n=1,\ y\geqslant 0} y_1^{z_1-1} \ldots y_n^{z_n-1}\, \Omega =$$

$$= \Gamma(z_1+\ldots+z_n) \int\limits_{y_1+\ldots+y_n=1,\ y\geqslant 0} y_1^{z_1-1} \ldots y_n^{z_n-1}\, \Omega.$$

Comparing the obtained expressions we obtain

$$\int\limits_{y_1+\ldots+y_n=1,\ y\geqslant 0} y_1^{z_1-1} \ldots y_n^{z_n-1}\, \Omega = \frac{\Gamma(z_1)\ldots\Gamma(z_n)}{\Gamma(z_1+\ldots+z_n)} = B(z).$$

2) Consider the following chain of transformations:

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{-t_1}\dots u_n^{-t_n}\left(1-(u_1+\ldots+u_n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)_+ du = \{\text{coarea formula}\} =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^1 \left(1-s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \int\limits_{u_1+\ldots+u_n=s,\ u\geqslant 0} u_1^{-t_1}\dots u_n^{-t_n}\,\Omega\, ds = \left\{u_k = v_k s,\ k=\overline{1,n}\right\} =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^1 \left(1-s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) s^{n-1-t_1-\ldots-t_n}\, ds \int\limits_{v_1+\ldots+v_n=1,\ v\geqslant 0} v_1^{-t_1}\dots v_n^{-t_n}\,\Omega.$$

From the first statement of the lemma it follows that

$$\int\limits_{v_1+\ldots+v_n=1,\ v\geqslant 0} v_1^{-t_1}\dots v_n^{-t_n}\,\Omega = B(1-t_1,\dots,1-t_n) =: B(1-t).$$

Let γ be a real number. Let's evalute the integral

$$\int\limits_0^1 \left(1-s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) s^{\gamma-1}\, ds = \left\{t = s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\ s=t^\alpha,\ ds=\alpha t^{\alpha-1}dt\right\} =$$

$$= \alpha\int\limits_0^1 (1-t)t^{\alpha(\gamma-1)+\alpha-1}dt = \alpha\int\limits_0^1 (1-t)^{2-1}t^{\alpha\gamma-1}dt = \alpha B(2,\alpha\gamma).$$

Hence

$$\int\limits_0^1 \left(1-s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) s^{n-1-t_1-\ldots-t_n}\, ds = \alpha B\left(2,\alpha(n-t_1-\ldots-t_n)\right).$$

The second part of the lemma is proved. □

Note that in the work [HS] an inversion formula for transform $\Pi_\alpha[\mu](p,p_0)$ was obtained in the case of $\alpha=1$. Now we are going to prove a theorem that generalizes this result to the case of arbitrary $\alpha\in(0,1]$. From the point of view of economic applications the next theorem can be used to find distributions of powers over technologies given the profit function in a production system with effect of substution of production factors at the microlevel, when it is a priori known that the distribution is absolutely continuous.

Theorem 3.1. *Let*

$$a(x)\in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+, x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)}\dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)}\right)\cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+, x_1^{2\alpha(c_1-1)+1}\dots x_n^{2\alpha(c_n-1)+1}\right)$$

for some real $c_1 < 1$, ..., $c_n < 1$. Then

$$a(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} K_\alpha(p_1x_1, \dots, p_nx_n; c)\Pi_\alpha[a](p, 1)\, dp,$$

$$\left(a(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \int\limits_0^1 \int\limits_0^t K_\alpha(p_1x_1, \dots, p_nx_n; c) R_\alpha[a](p, s)\, ds\, dt\, dp, \right)$$

where the kernel K_α is

$$K_\alpha(u; c) = \frac{\alpha^{2n-1}}{(2\pi i)^n} \lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{c+i\mathbf{B}^n(0,R)} \frac{u_1^{-\alpha(z_1-1)-1} \dots u_n^{-\alpha(z_n-1)-1} dz}{B(1-z_1, \dots, 1-z_n) B\left(2, \alpha(n - z_1 - \dots - z_n)\right)},$$
$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_n),$$

and $\mathbf{B}^n(0, R)$ is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius R with center at the origin.

Proof. For brevity denote $\Pi(p, p_0) = \Pi_\alpha[a](p, p_0)$. By definition

$$\Pi(p, p_0) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \left(p_0 - ((p_1x_1)^\alpha + \dots + (p_nx_n)^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)_+ a(x)\, dx.$$

Make change of variables $y_k = x_k^\alpha$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. The Jacobian of this transformation $x_k \mapsto y_k$ is equal to $\alpha^{-n}(x_1 \dots x_n)^{1-\alpha}$. Denoting $a_*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha) = \alpha^{-n} a(x_1, \dots, x_n)(x_1 \dots x_n)^{1-\alpha}$ we can write

$$\Pi(p, p_0) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \left(p_0 - (p_1^\alpha y_1 + \dots + p_n^\alpha y_n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)_+ a_*(y)\, dy.$$

Using this formula and the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} p_1^{-t_1} \dots p_n^{-t_n} \Pi(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \dots, p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}; 1)\, dp =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} a_*(y) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} p_1^{-t_1} \dots p_n^{-t_n} \left(1 - (p_1y_1 + \dots + p_ny_n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)_+ dp\, dy = \left\{ u_k = p_ky_k,\ k = \overline{1, n} \right\} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{t_1-1} \dots y_n^{t_n-1} a_*(y)\, dy \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{-t_1} \dots u_n^{-t_n} \left(1 - (u_1 + \dots + u_n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)_+ du.$$

From the latter formula it is obvious that we can obtain the Mellin transform of function $a_*(x)$ using the function $\Pi(p, p_0)$. The inversion formula is based on this fact.

From Lemma 3.1 it follows that for $\operatorname{Re} t_1 < 1, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} t_n < 1$ the following formula holds:

$$\begin{gathered}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} p_1^{-t_1} \ldots p_n^{-t_n} \Pi(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ldots, p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}; 1)\, dp = \\ = \alpha B(1-t) B\left(2, \alpha\left(n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k\right)\right) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{t_1-1} \ldots y_n^{t_n-1} a_*(y)\, dy.\end{gathered} \tag{3.1}$$

Put $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let's prove the next formula for the Fourier tranform:

$$\mathcal{F}\left(a_*\left(e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}\right) \exp(c \cdot x)\right)(\tau) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{i\tau_1 + c_1 - 1} \ldots y_n^{i\tau_n + c_n - 1} a_*(y_1, \ldots, y_n)\, dy. \tag{3.2}$$

We have the following chain of transformations:

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{F}\left(a_*\left(e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}\right) \exp(c_1 x_1 + \ldots + c_n x_n)\right)(\tau) = \\ = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(i(x_1\tau_1 + \ldots + x_n\tau_n) + c_1 x_1 + \ldots + c_n x_n\right) a_*\left(e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}\right)\, dx = \\ = \left\{y_k = e^{x_k},\ x_k = \ln y_k,\ dx_k = y_k^{-1} dy_k\ k = \overline{1, n}\right\} = \\ = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{i\tau_1 + c_1 - 1} \ldots y_n^{i\tau_n + c_n - 1} a_*(y_1, \ldots, y_n)\, dy.\end{gathered}$$

Take into account that

$$\begin{gathered}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(c_1 x_1 + \ldots + c_n x_n\right) \left|a_*\left(e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}\right)\right|\, dx = \\ = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{c_1 - 1} \ldots y_n^{c_n - 1} \left|a_*(y_1, \ldots, y_n)\right|\, dy = \left\{y_k = u_k^{\alpha},\ dy_k = \alpha u_k^{\alpha-1} du_k,\ k = \overline{1, n}\right\} = \\ = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \ldots u_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)} |a(u_1, \ldots, u_n)|\, du < \infty,\end{gathered}$$

by virtue of the conditions of this theorem. Similarly,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(2(c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n)\right)\left|a_*\left(e^{x_1},\ldots,e^{x_n}\right)\right|^2\,dx =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} y_1^{2c_1-1}\ldots y_n^{2c_n-1}|a_*(y_1,\ldots,y_n)|^2\,dy =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{\alpha(2c_1-1)}\ldots u_n^{\alpha(2c_n-1)}|a(u_1,\ldots,u_n)|^2\alpha^{-2n}(u_1\ldots u_n)^{2-2\alpha}\alpha^n(u_1\ldots u_n)^{\alpha-1}\,du =$$

$$= \alpha^{-n}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_1^{2\alpha(c_1-1)+1}\ldots u_n^{2\alpha(c_n-1)+1}|a(u_1,\ldots,u_n)|^2\,du < \infty.$$

Thereby

$$\exp\left(c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n\right)a_*\left(e^{x_1},\ldots,e^{x_n}\right) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From this it follows that the Fourier transform (3.2) exists and, by the Plancherel theorem (see [Yo]), belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Taking into account (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}\left(a_*\left(e^{x_1},\ldots,e^{x_n}\right)\exp(c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n)\right)(\tau) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{p_1^{-i\tau_1-c_1}\ldots p_n^{-i\tau_n-c_n}\,\Pi(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\ldots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};1)\,dp}{\alpha B(1-c-i\tau)B\left(2,\alpha n-\alpha(c_1+i\tau_1+\ldots+c_n+i\tau_n)\right)}.$$

Apply the inverse Fourier transform to the latter equation. Since the function above belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the inverse Fourier transform can be computed using the following formula (see [Yo]):

$$a_*\left(e^{x_1},\ldots,e^{x_n}\right)\exp(c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_{\mathbf{B}(0,R)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{\exp(-ix\cdot\tau)p_1^{-i\tau_1-c_1}\ldots p_n^{-i\tau_n-c_n}\,\Pi(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\ldots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};1)\,dp}{\alpha B(1-c-i\tau)B\left(2,\alpha n-\alpha(c_1+i\tau_1+\ldots+c_n+i\tau_n)\right)}d\tau.$$

Denote $y_k = e^{x_k}$, $k=\overline{1,n}$. The latter formula becomes

$$a_*(y_1,\ldots,y_n) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_{\mathbf{B}(0,R)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{(p_1y_1)^{-i\tau_1-c_1}\ldots(p_ny_n)^{-i\tau_n-c_n}\,\Pi(p_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\ldots,p_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}};1)\,dp}{\alpha B(1-c-i\tau)B\left(2,\alpha n-\alpha(c_1+i\tau_1+\ldots+c_n+i\tau_n)\right)}d\tau.$$

Now denote $y_k = x_k^\alpha$ and make the substitution $p_k = q_k^\alpha$, $k=\overline{1,n}$. Turning back from function $a_*(\cdot)$ to function $a(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$a(x) = \frac{\alpha^{2n-1}}{(2\pi)^n}\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_{\mathbf{B}(0,R)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{(q_1x_1)^{-\alpha(i\tau_1+c_1-1)-1}\ldots(q_nx_n)^{-\alpha(i\tau_n+c_n-1)-1}\,\Pi(q_1,\ldots,q_n;1)\,dq}{\alpha B(1-c-i\tau)B\left(2,\alpha n-\alpha(c_1+i\tau_1+\ldots+c_n+i\tau_n)\right)}d\tau.$$

Making substitution $z_k = c_k + i\tau_k$, $k = \overline{1,n}$ and using the definition of kernel $K_\alpha(u;c)$ in the statement of this theorem, we obtain the required formula for inversion of transform $\Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0)$. The inversion formula for transform $R_\alpha[a](p,p_0)$ immediately follows from equalities

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0)}{\partial p_0^2} = R_\alpha[a](p,p_0), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Pi_\alpha[a](p,+0) = \frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[a]}{\partial p_0}(p,+0) = 0, \quad p \in \operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n \tag{3.4}$$

that imply $\Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0) = \int_0^{p_0} \int_0^t R_\alpha[a](p,s)\, ds\, dt$. Let's show that equalities (3.3)–(3.4) hold.

The equality (3.3) follows from the Lemma 2.1 and from the definition of transform $R_\alpha[a](p,p_0)$.

Next, for any $p \in \operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+$ there exist such $R(p) > 0$ and $p_0^*(p)$ that

$$\Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,R(p))} (p_0 - p \odot_\alpha x)_+ a(x)\, dx,$$

for $0 < p_0 < p_0^*(p)$. Write

$$0 \leqslant |\Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0)| \leqslant \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,R(p))} (p_0 - p \odot_\alpha x)_+ |a(x)|\, dx \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant p_0 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,R(p))} |a(x)|\, dx \leqslant Cp_0 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,R(p))} x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)} |a(x)|\, dx \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant Cp_0 \|a(x)\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+, x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)}\right)}$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant. Passing $p_0 \to +0$ we obtain that $\Pi_\alpha[a](p,+0) = 0$.

Now use Lemma 2.1 and obtain

$$0 \leqslant \left| \frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[a](p,p_0)}{\partial p_0} \right| \leqslant \int\limits_{p \odot_\alpha x \leqslant p_0} |a(x)|\, dx \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,C_1 p_0)} |a(x)|\, dx \leqslant C_2 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,C_1 p_0)} x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)} |a(x)|\, dx,$$

where $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ are some constants. From the relations

$$x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)} a(x) \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$$

it follows that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbf{B}^n(0,C_2 p_0)} x_1^{\alpha(c_1-1)} \dots x_n^{\alpha(c_n-1)} |a(x)|\, dx \to 0, \quad p_0 \to +0.$$

It proves that $\frac{\partial \Pi_\alpha[a]}{\partial p_0}(p,+0) = 0$. Thus, the equalities (3.4) are proved and the theorem is proved. □

References

[Bo] V. I. Bogachev, Measure theory I, Springer, Berlin, 2007

[HS] G. M. Henkin, A. A. Shananin, Bernstein theorems and Radon transform. Application to the theory of production functions, Trans. Math. Mon. 81, 1990, pp. 189–223

[KF] A. N. Kolmogorov, S. V. Fomin, Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis, Dover Publications, 1999

[KP] S. G. Krantz, H. R. Parks, Geometric integration theory, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2008

[LL] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, Providence, AMS, 2001

[Sc] L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1978

[Sh] A. A. Shananin, The investigation of the generalized model of a pure industry, Matem. Mod. 9 (10), 1997, pp. 73–82 (in Russian)

[Yo] K. Yoshida, Functional Analysis, Springer, 1980